WO

Case 2:09-mj-06179-DKD Document 11 Filed 05/18/09 Page

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

MAY 1 8 2009

LODGED

COPY

1 SFELED

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIADEPUT

	Karl Cascketta	Case Number:	09-6179M-002		
ordance tablished	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f).	, a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts		
	clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant nding trial in this case.				
	this case.		require the detention of the defendant pending		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed	d		
	an offense for which a maximum te 801 et seq., 951 et seq., or 46 U.S.G	rm of imprisonment of te C. App. § 1901 et seq.	n years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§		
	an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924	(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
	an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 233 imprisonment of ten years or more	2b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crim is prescribed.	es of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
	an offense involving a minor victim	orescribed in	.1		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
		-			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
			R DETENTION		
(1)	as to danger that: Opendant Las, Conversions	at Coast,	ing establish by clear and convincing evidence Supervised Autoria		
	by clear pending by a protrial in (1) (2) (2) (3) (4)	ordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), stablished: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is trial in this case. PART I F (1) There is probable cause to believe that the an offense for which a maximum te 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. § 233: imprisonment of ten years or more an offense involving a minor victim productions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as require (2) No condition or combination of conditions will a prospective witness or juror). (4) PART II WRITTEN STATEN (Check one) (1) I find that the credible testimony and information as to danger that:	ordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has chablished: (Check one or both, as applicable.) by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the commun pending trial in this case. by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and ritial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committee an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of tee 801 et seq., 951 et seq. or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq. I an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b). an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. §§ 323b(g)(5)(B) (Federal criming imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed. an offense involving a minor victim prescribed in the defendant will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by foonditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. (2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to defendant as required.) (3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to defendant will obstruct or attempt as to danger that: (1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hear as to danger that:		

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing or containing or containing or containing or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or entitied explicit of the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or entitied explicit of the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or entitied explicit or explinit or explicit or explicit or explicit or explicit or explicit o a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:09-mj-06179-DKD Document 11 Filed 05/18/09 Page 2 of 2

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:		
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of		
	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			
	In additi	on:		
		OII.		
				
The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.				
PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION				
a correct appeal. of the U	The defe nited Sta	endant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in illity separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending endant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court tes or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE				
Court. F	copy of Pursuant of a copy	DERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the illure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.		
Services	ate the p	RTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ntly in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and otential third party custodian.		
Date.		LAWRENCE O. ANDERSON		
		United States Magistrate Judge		